

2025

# 2025 PRIMARY ELECTION CANVASS



- 1. BOARD OF CANVASSER'S CERTIFICATE**
- 2. CITY RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE**
- 3. SUMMARY RESULTS REPORT**
- 4. RESULTS BY PRECINCT & PRECINCT MAP**
- 5. SUMMARY OF BALLOTS – NOT COUNTED,  
RECONCILIATION & BALLOT STATISTICS**
- 6. PRE & POST ELECTION AUDIT REPORTS**



## BOARD OF CANVASSER'S CERTIFICATE 2025 PRIMARY ELECTION

*Canvass Date: August 26, 2025*

The attached Official Election Results for the 2025 West Point Municipal Primary Election held on August 12, 2025 have been presented to the Board of Canvassers for approval this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2025.

The candidates appearing on the ballot were as follows: (listed in ballot order):

WEST POINT CITY MAYOR

*Vote for 1*

Annette Judd  
Brian Vincent  
David Marvin Drake

**The Members of the Board of Canvassers hereby approve the Official Election Results for the West Point Municipal Primary Election as follows:**

<u>CANDIDATE</u>	<u>VOTES RECEIVED</u>
Brian Vincent.....	950
David Marvin Drake.....	493
Annette Judd.....	468

**Further, the Members of the Board of Canvassers hereby declare that the two Mayor candidates that received the highest number of votes and will proceed to the General Election on November 4, 2025 are as follows:**

<u>CANDIDATE</u>	<u>VOTES RECEIVED</u>
Brian Vincent.....	950
David Marvin Drake.....	493

**Signed and dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2025:**

BRIAN VINCENT - MAYOR

ANNETTE JUDD - COUNCIL MEMBER

BRAD LEE - COUNCIL MEMBER

JERRY CHATTERTON - COUNCIL MEMBER

MICHELE SWENSON - COUNCIL MEMBER

TRENT YARBROUGH - COUNCIL MEMBER



**CITY RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE  
2025 PRIMARY ELECTION**

Canvass Date: August 26, 2025

*Honorable Board of Canvassers Members:*

*Mayor Brian Vincent, Council Member Jerry Chatterton, Council Member Annette Judd,  
Council Member Michele Swenson, Council Member Brad Lee, and Council Member Trent Yarbrough*

**I, Casey Arnold, City Recorder in and for West Point City, Utah**, do hereby certify that the attached Official Election Results are a true and correct copy of the final tabulation of votes for the Municipal Primary Election held in West Point City on **Tuesday, August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025.**

The candidates appearing on the ballot were as follows: (listed in ballot order):

WEST POINT CITY MAYOR

*Vote for 1*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Annette Judd  
Brian Vincent  
David Marvin Drake

The Official Canvass of Votes for the 2025 Municipal Primary Election was held on **August 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025.**

The candidates and their respective official vote counts are as follows:

<b>CANDIDATE</b>	<b>VOTES RECEIVED</b>
<b>Brian Vincent</b> .....	<b>950</b>
<b>David Marvin Drake</b> .....	<b>493</b>
<b>Annette Judd</b> .....	<b>468</b>

The Board of Canvassers certified the official vote count results and declared that the following candidates will proceed to the General Election on November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025:

**CANDIDATE**

**Brian Vincent**  
**David Marvin Drake**

*Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2025*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Casey Arnold, West Point City Recorder

STATISTICS

	TOTAL
Registered Voters - Total	6,993
Ballots Cast - Total	1,920
Voter Turnout - Total	27.46%

West Point City Mayor


Vote For 1

---

	TOTAL
BRIAN VINCENT	950
DAVID MARVIN DRAKE	493
ANNETTE JUDD	468

Statement of Votes Cast  
 2025 Municipal Primary  
 Tuesday, August 12, 2025

Precinct	STATISTICS								WEST POINT CITY MAYOR VOTE FOR ONE VOTES RECEIVED		
	Registered Voters	Ballots Cast	Voter Turnout	Early	Election Day	Curbside	Provisional	By Mail	ANNETTE JUDD	BRIAN VINCENT	DAVID MARVIN DRAKE
6WP01:	52	5	9.61%	0	0	0	0	5	1	3	1
6WP02:IHN-	350	101	28.86%	0	0	0	0	101	13	49	39
6WP03:IHN-	292	67	22.95%	0	3	0	0	64	11	34	22
6WP04:I-N-	84	31	36.90%	0	0	0	0	31	11	14	6
6WP05:I-N-	555	169	30.45%	0	4	0	1	164	18	75	73
6WP06:I-N-	420	100	23.81%	0	0	0	0	100	28	42	30
6WP07:IHN-	1004	305	30.38%	0	6	0	1	298	63	178	64
6WP08:IHN-	457	122	26.70%	0	2	0	0	120	18	59	44
6WP09:I-N-	615	181	29.43%	0	10	0	0	171	40	75	66
6WP10:I-N-	495	111	22.42%	0	5	0	0	106	28	55	28
6WP11:I-N-	586	198	33.79%	0	2	0	0	196	52	115	28
6WP12:I-N-	510	171	33.53%	0	4	0	0	167	48	84	38
6WP13:I-N-	487	110	22.59%	0	1	0	0	109	56	37	17
6WP14:I-N-	429	101	23.54%	0	0	0	0	101	35	54	12
6WP15:I-N-	657	148	22.53%	0	3	0	0	145	46	76	25
<b>Totals</b>	<b>6993</b>	<b>1920</b>	<b>27.46%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1878</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>493</b>



Weber County  
Davis County

WP01

WP02

WP03

WP05

WP06

WP09

WP08

WP07

SY04

SY05

SY08

SY09

SY10

SY11

SY02

SY01

SY06

SY03

SY07

SY13

SY14

WP14

WP10

WP12

WP15

WP13

CL01

CL02

CL03

CL04

CL05

CL06

CL07

CL08

CL09

CL10

CL11

CL12

CL13

CL14

CL15

CL17

CL18

CL19

CL20

CL21

CL22

CF01

CF02

CF05

CF06

CF10

Syracuse

HOWARD SLOUGH BIRD REFUGE

110

107

193

193

37

108

Primary Municipal Election August 12, 2025 Summary of By-Mail and Provisional Ballots Not Counted	Total ballots not counted	Already Voted	Fleeing Voter	No Proof of Identity	No Proof of Residency	Deceased	Power of Attorney	Incarcerated	Moved from County	Signed by someone other than the voter	No Signature	Signature did not match	Empty Envelope	Not Timely
West Point By-Mail	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	12
West Point Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>

Ballot Reconciliation [1]													
Time and Date (dd/mm/yyyy+time:am/pm)		7/31/25	8/4/2025	8/7/2025	8/8/2025	8/11/2025	8/12/2025	8/26/2025	8/27/2025	8/25/2025		Total	
Total Voters and Ballots Issued	Active Registered Voters as of Registration Deadline [2]	90531										90531	
	Ballots Returned Undeliverable [3]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1557		1557	
	Ballots Issued to Voters (By Mail) [4]	91928	91928	92046	92046	92046	92046	92046	92046	92046 [5]		92046	
	Ballots Issued to Voters (Electronically) [6]	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53		53	
	Ballots Issued to Voters (Polling Locations) [7]	0	0	0	0	0	220	220	220	220		220	
	Ballots Issued to Voter (In Office) [8]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Total Ballots Issued to Voters		91981	91981	92099	92099	92099	92099	92099	92099	92118		92118	
Ballots Received From Voters [9]	Mail/Absentee in Mail	6070	7802	8552	10259	10908	11699	12107	12107	12107		12107	
	Mail/Absentee in Drop Box	623	808	2014	2014	2014	3473	7404	7405	7405		7405	
	Total Mail Ballots	6693	8610	11566	12273	12922	15172	19511	19512	19512		19512	
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	7		7	
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	211	211	211	211		211	
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Total Ballots Received From Voters for Processing		6693	8610	11566	12273	12922	15173	19539	19539	19739		19739	
Ballots In Process [10]	Mail Ballots	0	2	2985	0	0	0	2	0	0		0	
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1		1	
	Total Ballots In Process	0	2	2985	0	0	0	4	1	1	1		1
	Ballots Received [11]	Mail Ballots	6667	8581	8581	12242	12891	15134	19451	19484	19486		19481
Electronic UOCAVA and ADA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		7	
Early Voting (Regular)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Early Voting (Curbside)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Early Voting (Provisional)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Election Day Polls (Regular)		0	0	0	0	0	0	211	211	211		211	
Election Day Polls (Curbside)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Election Day Polls (Provisional)		0	0	0	0	0	7	8	8	8		8	
Total Ballots Received or Processed		6667	8581	8581	12242	12891	15134	19668	19703	19705	19707		19707
Reconciliation		Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (By Mail) [12]	6667	8583	8594	12242	12891	15134	18453	19484	19488		19488
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Early) [13]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Normal) [14]	0	0	0	0	0	0	211	211	211		211	
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Provisional) [15]	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	8		8	
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA	6667	8583	8594	12242	12891	15134	19071	19703	19705	19707		19707
	Ballots with Vote History in VISTA but not Processed [16]	0	2	1013	0	0	0	2	0	0	0		0
Reconciliation of Ballots Counted to Vote History [17]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	0	0	
Reconciliation of Ballots Received/Counted/Rejected [18]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	0	0	
Notes													
By Mail Ballots Not Resolved	By Mail Ballots Not Resolved [19]	26	27	0	37	37	44	65	35	33	31	31	
	By Mail Ballots Resolved [19]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	41	
	By Mail Ballots Initially Rejected	26	27	0	37	37	44	65	35	33	72	72	

Monday - Wednesday - Friday Ballot Statistic [20]														
	Fri 7-25	Mon 7-28	Wed 7-30	Fri 8-1	Mon 8-4	Wed 8-6	Fri 8-8	Mon 8-11	Wed 8-13	Fri 8-15	Mon 8-18	Wed 8-20	Fri 8-22	Mon 8-25
Received [21]	3866	3867	5954	7510	4610	11566	12928	15176	19738	19953	19953	20020	20021	20038
Not Processed [22]														
In Process [23]	3866	3867	5954	817	2	2958			2	27	27	0	0	0
Processed [24]				6667	8581	8581	12891	15134	19669	19669	19669	19703	19705	19707
Challenged [25]				26	27	27	37	44	65	255	255	316	315	331
Provisional Not Processed [26]									2	2	2	1	1	1
Pending Adjudication [27]									0	0				
Pending Replication [28]									0	0				
Replicated [29]									132	132	132	132	132	132

Davis Canvass Statistics  
Municipal Primary - August 12, 2025

Section 1 Ballot Statistics

1A	21.77%	Voter Turnout
1B	90531	Active voters (Given to counties on the Monday before the election)
1C	19481	Number of Counted Ballots (Mail or Drop Box)
1D	211	Number of counted ballots (In-person)
1E	7	Number of ballots that were returned electronically (UOCAVA/disability)
1F	8	Number of counted ballots (Provisional)
1G	19707	Total number of ballots counted
1H	Yes	Does total ballots counted match the number of voters given vote history in VISTA?
1I		If no, what is the difference
1J	Explain:	

Section 2: Challenged and Uncounted Ballot Statistics

2A	10	Number of envelopes with a signature that does not match the voter's signature
2B	4	Number of unsigned envelopes
2C	9	Number of challenged ballots for other curable reasons
2D	64	Total number of curable ballots that were challenged (cured and not cured combined)
2E	23	Total number of curable that were not cured
2F	35.94%	Percentage of curable ballots that were not cured
2G	3	Total number of ballots where the voter submitted a disability affidavit*

2H		
2I		Section 3: Rejected ballot statistics (ballots that cannot be cured)
2J	300	Number of ballots that were returned after the deadline
2K	8	Number of ballots rejected for other non-curable reasons
	308	Total number of rejected that were not curable
	1.69%	Percentage of ballots that were returned as undeliverable

Section 4: Provisional ballot statistics

3A		
3B		
3C	9	Number of provisional ballots issued
3D	8	Number of provisional ballots counted
3E	1	Total Number of provisional ballots not counted
3F		Already Voted
3G		Insufficient ID
3H		Unsigned
3I		Incomplete Form
	1	Other
	88.89%	Percentage of provisionals that were counted
	1	Number of provisional ballots that are same-day registration

Section 5: County Clerk Certification

Brian McKenzie I certify that the information contained in this report is true and accurate.

# PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT

## *What, Where, and When*

As required by Utah Code 20A-4-104 Before beginning to count ballots using automatic tabulating equipment, the election officer shall test the automatic tabulating equipment to ensure that it will accurately count the votes cast for all offices and all measures. Additionally a firmware validation must be completed, this verifies the firmware versions used on tabulating equipment matches the official certified firmware versions approved for use in the State of Utah.

A test deck is created which contains ballots from each precinct and each contest involved in the election. Votes are marked for each candidate or question. Under votes (blank ballots) and over votes are also included in the test deck. The test deck is processed through each type of tabulation equipment which will be utilized in the election. The results tabulated from the automatic tabulating equipment is then compared to the pre-determined results.



**July 18, 2025**

## *Testing Team*

This test is conducted by personnel of the Davis County Clerks Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on July 18, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

## *Results*

All contests were included in the test, all equipment accurately detected over votes and under votes. The results of the test accurately matched the expected results. The firmware in use was confirmed as the approved version on all tabulating equipment. Following this page you will find the Pre Election Test and Audit Report, a summary results report showing zero votes, a summary results report showing the vote tabulation of the test deck and the voting result report showing the expected results of the test deck.



# Davis County Pre-Election Test and Audit Report

Election: August 12, 2025

Test Date: July 18, 2025

## Pre-Election Test Summary

1. Number of paper ballots processed on DS450: 2057
2. Number of paper ballots processed on DS200: 132
3. Number of Express Vote Ballots Processed: 88
4. Were all contests included in the test? X Yes \_\_\_\_\_
5. Did all equipment accurately detect over votes? X Yes \_\_\_\_\_
6. Did all equipment accurately detect under votes? X Yes \_\_\_\_\_
7. Did the results match the expected results of the test? Yes X

Notes:

---



---



---



---

## Firmware Validation

Hardware	Certified Firmware Version	Current Firmware Version
Electionware PC	6.3.0.0 ✓	6.3.00
DS450	4.2.0.0 ✓	4.2.00
DS200	3.0.0.0 ✓	3.0.0.0
ExpressVote	4.2.1.0 ✓	4.2.1.0

Were there any differences between the certified firmware version and the current firmware version? Yes No

## Certification

I certify that I was present and or participated in the Pre-Election Test and Audit and that the information included in this certification is accurate.

County Clerk or Deputy Clerk: Lori Schaefer

Board of Canvass Representative(s): Kimberly Spear

Christy [Signature]  
Haley Brevier

# POST ELECTION AUDIT

## *What, Where, and When*

A Post election audit consists of three requirements. First is an audit of the tabulating equipment as required by 20A-4-104(1)(f). This audit ensures the automatic tabulation equipment accurately counted the ballots by hand counting select batches of ballots and comparing the hand counted with the results record in the tabulation system. It consists of 1 percent of all ballots up to 1,000 ballots. The ballots are selected randomly by the Lt. Governors Office.

Second is an audit of the signature comparisons made between signatures on envelopes and voter signatures maintained by the election officer as required by 20A-3a-402.5. This audit is performed on 1% of all ballot envelopes prior to envelopes being opened and separated from the ballot.

Third is a final reconciliation of ballots cast to vote history recorded in the voter registration system as required by 20A-4-304 (2)(h). This is documented on the Ballot Reconciliation Report.

Procedures for these audits are set by administrative rules and policy set by the Lt. Governor.



## *Audit Team*

Auditors consisted of Davis County Citizens, and Officials from outside of the Davis County Clerk’s Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on August 22, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

**Rebecca Tolman**  
Citizen Auditor

**Angalee Thompson**  
Citizen Auditor

**Joni Lyn Phillips**  
Citizen Auditor

**John Crofts**  
Davis County Commissioner

**Nancy Dean**  
Clearfield City Recorder

**Nicole Supp**  
Sunset City Recorder

## *Results*

The results of the audit accurately matched the tabulated results. No discrepancies were found in the signature comparisons. Following this page you will find the Canvass Audit Certification Report and the Post Election Auditor Certification Report.



# 2025 Canvass - Audit Certification Report

County: Davis

Election: Municipal Primary Aug. 12, 2025

## Paper Ballot Audit Summary

1. Number of paper ballots processed: 19,703
2. Number of ballots audited: 221
3. List the contests on the ballot that were audited:

All Contests

Were there any differences between the tabulation software and the votes marked on the paper ballots?

Yes  No (\*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)

## DRE Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

1. Number of voting machines used in the election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of polling places used for the election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  Yes  No  
(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
5. Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  Yes  No  
(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  Yes  No  
(\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited machine?  Yes  No \*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Signature Affidavit Audit Summary

Total number of signature affidavits audited: 226

Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between a signature's initial verification and the audit?

Yes  No (\*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)



# 2025 Canvass - Audit Certification Report

Yes  No I certify that the audits described above were conducted according to the audit policy. This includes ensuring that all election workers and auditors completed the required training, were sworn in, and that each auditor signed an affidavit for the work they audited.

Yes  No I certify that I have reviewed the number of vote histories recorded in VISTA and they closely match the number of ballots counted in my county during this election.

Number of ballots counted: 19,703

Number of vote histories: 19,703

Difference: 0

Explain any differences:

Yes  No I certify that I have properly maintained chain of custody controls as outlined in 20A-3a-401.1 and Rule 623-8.

Yes  No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).

Yes  No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using any voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voting equipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."

---

County Clerk Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: Aug. 22, 2025



# Davis County Post-Election Auditors Certification Report

Election: August 12, 2025 Municipal Primary Election

I certify that proper legal public notice was given for the Post-Election Audit. This audit was conducted at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025 on the 22nd day of August, 2025; all laws, policies and procedures were followed as currently constituted; and that the following information is true and correct.

Signature of County Clerk: *[Handwritten Signature]* Date: Aug 22, 2025

We the undersigned election auditors certify that we were present during and participated in this post-election audit. We certify that we reviewed the original paper ballots, and tabulation of all ballots identified for audit, we confirmed that the electronic count matched the original marks made by the voter, and that all electronic tabulations accurately reflected the intent of the voter as marked on each ballot and that if any differences were identified, they have been listed on the Audit Summary Report.

As an appointed election auditor, I certify that I attended the Post-Election Audit and verified the information listed above to be accurate.

Signature of Election Auditor *[Handwritten Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Election Auditor \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Election Auditor \_\_\_\_\_