

2025

# 2025 GENERAL ELECTION CANVASS



- 1. BOARD OF CANVASSER'S CERTIFICATE**
- 2. CITY RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE**
- 3. SUMMARY RESULTS REPORT**
- 4. RESULTS BY PRECINCT & PRECINCT MAP**
- 5. SUMMARY OF BALLOTS – NOT COUNTED,  
RECONCILIATION & BALLOT STATISTICS**
- 6. PRE & POST ELECTION AUDIT REPORTS**



## BOARD OF CANVASSER'S CERTIFICATE 2025 GENERAL ELECTION

Canvass Date: November 18, 2025

The attached Official Election Results for the 2025 West Point Municipal General Election held on November 4, 2025 have been presented to the Board of Canvassers for approval this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2025.

The candidates appearing on the ballot were as follows: (listed in ballot order):

### WEST POINT CITY MAYOR

*Vote for 1*

Brian Vincent  
David Marvin Drake

### WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL

*Vote for Up to 2*

Kenneth Bryan Whitaker  
Michele Swenson  
Jeremy Strong  
Brad Lee

The Members of the Board of Canvassers hereby approve the Official Election Results for the West Point Municipal General Election as follows:

### WEST POINT CITY MAYOR

CANDIDATE	VOTES RECEIVED
Brian Vincent	1,477
David Marvin Drake	1,081

### WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL

CANDIDATE	VOTES RECEIVED
Jeremy Strong	1,362
Michele Swenson	1,291
Brad Lee	1,268
Kenneth Bryan Whitaker	742

Further, the Members of the Board of Canvassers hereby declare that the Mayor candidate and the two City Council candidates that received the highest number of votes are elected to the respective offices for four-year terms beginning January 1, 2026 as follows:

### WEST POINT CITY MAYOR


Brian Vincent

### WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL


Jeremy Strong  
Michele Swenson

Signed and dated this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2025:


  
BRIAN VINCENT - MAYOR

  
ANNETTE JUDD - COUNCIL MEMBER

  
BRAD LEE - COUNCIL MEMBER

  
JERRY CHATTERTON - COUNCIL MEMBER

  
MICHELE SWENSON - COUNCIL MEMBER

  
TRENT YARBROUGH - COUNCIL MEMBER



# CITY RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE 2025 GENERAL ELECTION

Canvass Date: November 18, 2025

### Honorable Board of Canvassers Members:

Mayor Brian Vincent, Council Member Jerry Chatterton, Council Member Annette Judd,  
Council Member Michele Swenson, Council Member Brad Lee, and Council Member Trent Yarbrough

I, **CASEY ARNOLD, CITY RECORDER IN AND FOR WEST POINT CITY, UTAH, DO HEREBY CERTIFY** that the attached Official Election Results are a true and correct copy of the final tabulation of votes for the 2025 Municipal General Election held in West Point City on **Tuesday, November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025.**

The candidates appearing on the ballot were as follows: (listed in ballot order):

WEST POINT CITY MAYOR <i>Vote for 1</i>	WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL <i>Vote for Up to 2</i>
Brian Vincent David Marvin Drake	Kenneth Bryan Whitaker Michele Swenson Jeremy Strong Brad Lee

The Official Canvass of Votes for the 2025 Municipal General Election was held on **Tuesday, November 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025.**

The candidates and their respective official vote counts are as follows:

<u>WEST POINT CITY MAYOR</u>		<u>WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL</u>	
<u>CANDIDATE</u>	<u>VOTES RECEIVED</u>	<u>CANDIDATE</u>	<u>VOTES RECEIVED</u>
<b>Brian Vincent</b> .....	<b>1,477</b>	<b>Jeremy Strong</b> .....	<b>1,362</b>
<b>David Marvin Drake</b> .....	<b>1,081</b>	<b>Michele Swenson</b> .....	<b>1,291</b>
		<b>Brad Lee</b> .....	<b>1,268</b>
		<b>Kenneth Bryan Whitaker</b> .....	<b>742</b>

The Board of Canvassers certified the official vote count results and declared that the Mayor candidate and the two City Council candidates that received the highest number of votes are elected to the respective offices for four-year terms beginning January 1, 2026 as follows:

<u>WEST POINT CITY MAYOR</u> <b>Brian Vincent</b>	<u>WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL</u> <b>Jeremy Strong</b> <b>Michele Swenson</b>
--	--

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2025

Casey Arnold, West Point City Recorder

STATISTICS

	TOTAL
Registered Voters - Total	7,012
Ballots Cast - Total	2,575
Voter Turnout - Total	36.72%

---

West Point City Mayor

Vote For 1

---

	TOTAL
BRIAN VINCENT	1,477
DAVID MARVIN DRAKE	1,081

West Point City Council


Vote For 2

---

	TOTAL
JEREMY STRONG	1,362
MICHELE SWENSON	1,291
BRAD LEE	1,268
KENNETH BRYAN WHITAKER	742

Statement of Votes Cast  
 2025 Municipal General  
 Tuesday, November 4, 2025

Precinct	STATISTICS								WEST POINT CITY MAYOR		WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL			
	Registered Voters	Ballots Cast	Voter Turnout	Early	Election Day	Curbside	Provisional	By Mail	VOTE FOR ONE		VOTE FOR UP TO TWO			
									BRIAN VINCENT	DAVID MARVIN DRAKE	KENNETH BRYAN WHITAKER	MICHELE SWENSON	JEREMY STRONG	BRAD LEE
6WPO1:I-NA	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6WPO1:I-NB	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6WPO1:IH-A	38	11	28.95%	0	0	0	0	11	6	5	5	4	9	2
6WPO1:IHN-	15	9	60.00%	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	8	0	6	3
6WPO2:IHN-	346	121	34.97%	0	3	0	0	118	62	59	42	55	75	52
6WPO3:IHN-	295	80	27.12%	0	6	0	0	74	35	45	28	37	40	39
6WPO4:I-N-	85	47	55.29%	0	1	0	0	46	23	24	12	26	35	15
6WPO5:I-N-	565	214	37.88%	0	6	0	0	208	102	112	51	151	100	89
6WPO6:I-N-	408	120	29.41%	0	5	0	0	115	69	50	35	65	63	61
6WPO7:IHN-	1016	394	38.78%	0	16	0	2	376	249	140	132	183	217	188
6WPO8:IHN-	463	192	41.47%	0	1	0	1	190	78	112	49	67	160	62
6WPO9:I-N-	625	243	38.88%	0	10	0	0	233	106	136	72	119	124	114
6WPO10:I-N-	507	193	38.07%	0	17	0	0	176	116	77	57	93	104	93
6WPO11:I-N-	586	269	45.90%	0	11	0	0	258	201	63	48	127	104	200
6WPO12:I-N-	507	209	41.22%	1	17	0	1	190	119	90	61	100	100	126
6WPO13:I-N-	480	137	28.54%	0	9	0	0	128	78	59	43	75	69	60
6WPO14:I-N-	420	134	31.90%	2	3	0	0	129	91	42	35	76	63	64
6WPO15:I-N-	656	202	30.79%	0	11	0	1	190	133	67	64	113	93	100
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7012</b>	<b>2575</b>	<b>36.72%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2451</b>	<b>1477</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>1291</b>	<b>1362</b>	<b>1268</b>



Weber County  
Davis County

WP01

WP02

WP03

WP05

WP06

West Point

WP10

WP12

WP07

WP08

WP09

WP14

WP15

SY08

SY09

SY10

SY11

SY12

SY13

SY14

Syracuse

CL01

CL02

CL03

CL04

CL05

CL06

CL07

CL08

CL09

CL10

CL11

CL13

CL14

CL15

CL17

CL18

CL19

CL20

CL21

CL22

CF01

CF02

CF05

CF06

CF10

6500 W  
7100 W  
6000 W  
5800 W  
5300 W  
1925 N  
3000 W

6000 S

110

107

193

193

108

HOWARD SLOUGH BIRD REFUGE

ERINWOOD

LAKEPARK

COLD SPRINGS

HWY 193

HWY 193

ANTELOPE

ANTELOPE

SANDHILL CRAW

WP04

WP05

WP09

WP09

SY01

SY05

SY10

SY11

CL02

CL07

CL13

CL19

WP11

SY02

SY06

SY12

CL03

CL08

CL14

CL19

WP12

SY02

SY07

SY13

CL04

CL09

CL15

CL20

WP13

WP15

SY03

SY07

SY13

CL05

CL10

CL16

CL21

CF01

CF05

SY03

SY07

SY14

108

<b>Municipal General Election November 4, 2025 Summary of By-Mail and Provisional Ballots Not Counted</b>	Total ballots not counted	Already Voted	Fleeing Voter	No Proof of Identiy	No Proof of Residency	Deceased	Power of Attorney	Incarserated	Moved from County	Signed by someone other than the voter	No Signature	Signature did not match	Empty Evnelope	Not Timely
West Point By-Mail	17	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	12
West Point Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>

## Ballot Reconciliation [1]

	Time and Date (ctrl+alt+shift+semicolon)	10/21/202	10/23/202	10/24/202	10/27/202	10/29/202	11/5/2025	11/5/2025		11/14/202	11/17/202	Total	
<b>Total Voters and Ballots Issued</b>	Active Registered Voters as of Registration Deadline [2]	192438										192438	
	Ballots Provided to Voter (By Mail and Electronic) [3]	194067	194266	194368	194485	194562	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	
	Ballots Returned Undeliverable [4]	2100	2300	2434	2661	2713	2864	2873	0	2960	2973	2973	
	Ballots Provided to Voter (Polling Locations) [5]	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	
	Mail/Absentee in Mail	9226	13659	16085	19177	23956	31046	31463	31468	31623	31632	31632	
<b>Ballots Received From Voters [6]</b>	Mail/Absentee in Drop Box	2249	2249	2249	2249	6381	35628	35645	35646	35646	35646	35646	
	Total Mail Ballots	11475	15908	18334	21426	30337	66674	67108	67114	67269	67278	67278	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balanced
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	99	99	99	99	Balanced
	Total Ballots Received From Voter for Processing	11475	15908	18334	21426	30347	68678	69117	69123	69278	69287	69287	
<b>Ballots In Process</b>	Mail Ballots	2063	0	0	0	0	9608	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	4	4	4	4	Balanced
Total Ballots in Process	2063	0	0	0	10	9708	100	4	4	4	4		
<b>Ballots Processed [7]</b>	Mail Ballots	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	56879	66512	66516	66556	66567	66567	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balanced
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	95	95	95	Balanced
Total Ballots Counted or Processed	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	58783	68421	68521	68561	68572	68572		
<b>Reconciliation</b>	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (By Mail) [8]	11423	15849	18275	21361	30229	60843	66548	66553	66593	66604	66604	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Early) [9]	0	0	0	0	9	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Normal) [10]	0	0	0	0	0	1857	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Provisional) [11]	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	96	96	96	96	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA	11423	15849	18275	21361	30238	62717	68506	68521	68561	68572	68572	Balanced
	Ballots with Vote History in VISTA but not Processed [12]	2063	0	0	0	9	3930	86	0	0	0	0	
	Reconciliation of Ballots Counted to Vote History [13]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	-4	1	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	
	Reconciliation of Ballots Recieved/Counted/Rejected [14]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced							
Notes													
<b>By Mail Ballots Rejected but Curable</b>	By Mail Ballots Rejected but Curable	52	59	59	64	107	169	154	150	120	106	106	
	By Mail Ballots Rejected and Not Curable	0	0	0	1	1	18	442	448	593	605	605	
	By Mail Ballots Resolved [15]	0	0	0	0	0	54	62	65	90	99	99	
	By Mail Ballots Initially Rejected	52	59	59	65	108	241	658	663	803	810	810	

## Standardized Canvass Statistics Report

### Davis County Municipal General Election November 4, 2025

#### Section 1: Active Voters and Turnout

35.6%	Voter turnout
35.6%	Proportion of active voters and same-day registrants who cast counted ballots
192,438	Active voters (use only the number given to counties the Friday before the election)

#### Section 2: Counted Ballot Statistics

68,572	Total ballots counted
66,567	Mail ballots counted
17	In-person early voting period ballots counted
1,855	In-person election day ballots counted
3	Disability ballots counted returned electronically
34	UOCAVA ballots counted returned electronically
96	Provisional ballots counted
0	Emergency ballots counted

#### Section 3: Vote History Reconciliation

68,572	Vote histories given
Yes	Does total ballots counted match the number of voters given vote history in VISTA?
NA	If no, what is the difference? (surplus vote histories) If no, provide an explanation below.

#### Section 4: Provisional Ballot Statistics

100	Provisional ballots issued
96	Provisional ballots counted
4	Total provisional ballots not counted
1	Already voted
0	Insufficient ID
3	Residency
0	Incomplete form
0	Other
96.0%	Percentage of provisionals that were counted
52	Number of provisional ballots that were same-day registration

#### Section 5: By Mail Ballot Statistics

205	Total challenged curable ballots (number of voters sent a cure notice)
106	Total rejected ballots that were curable but not cured
55	Envelopes with a signature that does not match the voter's signature
20	Unsigned envelopes
31	Ballots challenged for other curable reasons
51.7%	Percentage of curable ballots not cured
8	Number of ballots where the voter submitted a disability affidavit
605	Total rejected non-provisional ballots that could not legally be cured
582	Returned after the received-by deadline
23	Rejected for other non-curable reasons
1.5%	Percentage of ballots returned as undeliverable

#### Section 6: County Clerk Certification

I certify that the information contained in this report is true and accurate. (type your name in the field below)

Brian McKenzie, Davis County Clerk

# PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT

## *What, Where, and When*

As required by Utah Code 20A-4-104 Before beginning to count ballots using automatic tabulating equipment, the election officer shall test the automatic tabulating equipment to ensure that it will accurately count the votes cast for all offices and all measures. Additionally a firmware validation must be completed, this verifies the firmware versions used on tabulating equipment matches the official certified firmware versions approved for use in the State of Utah.

A test deck is created which contains ballots from each precinct and each contest involved in the election. Votes are marked for each candidate or question. Under votes (blank ballots) and over voter are also included in the test deck. The test deck is processed through each type of tabulation equipment which will be utilized in the election. The results tabulated from the automatic tabulating equipment is then compared to the pre-determined results.

**October 10,  
2025**

## *Testing Team*

This test is conducted by personnel of the Davis County Clerks Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on October 10, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

## *Results*

All contests were included in the test, all equipment accurately detected over votes and under votes. The results of the test accurately matched the expected results. The firmware in use was confirmed as the approved version on all tabulating equipment. Following this page you will find the Pre Election Test and Audit Report.



# Davis County Pre-Election Test and Audit Report

Election: November 4, 2025

Test Date: October 10

## Pre-Election Test Summary

1. Number of paper ballots processed on DS450: 2181
2. Number of paper ballots processed on DS200: 195
3. Number of Express Vote Ballots Processed: 129
4. Were all contests included in the test?  Yes
5. Did all equipment accurately detect over votes?  Yes
6. Did all equipment accurately detect under votes?  Yes
7. Did the results match the expected results of the test?  Yes

Notes:

---



---



---



---

## Firmware Validation

Hardware	Certified Firmware Version	Current Firmware Version
Electionware PC	6.3.0.0	6.3.00
DS450	4.2.0.0	4.2.00
DS200	3.0.0.0	3.0.0.0
ExpressVote	4.2.1.0	4.2.1.0

Were there any differences between the certified firmware version and the current firmware version?  Yes  No

## Certification

I certify that I was present and or participated in the Pre-Election Test and Audit and that the information included in this certification is accurate.

County Clerk or Deputy Clerk: *Ben Hutzler*

Board of Canvass Representative(s): *Jennifer Robinson - Centerville*  
*Annabelle Leitch - Kayville*  
*Janice Witz - West Burlington*

# POST ELECTION AUDIT

## *What, Where, and When*

A Post election audit consists of four requirements. First is a comparison of the Cast Vote Record to the Election Summary Report. The second is an audit of the tabulating equipment as required by 20A-4-104(1)(f). This audit ensures the automatic tabulation equipment accurately counted the ballots by hand counting select batches of ballots and comparing the hand counted with the results recorded in the tabulation system. It consists of 1 percent of all ballots up to 1,000 ballots. The ballots are selected randomly by the Lt. Governors Office.

The third is an audit of the signature comparisons made between signatures on envelopes and voter signatures maintained by the election officer as required by 20A-3a-402.5. This audit is performed on 1% of all ballot envelopes prior to envelopes being opened and separated from the ballot.

The fourth is a final reconciliation of ballots cast to vote history recorded in the voter registration system as required by 20A-4-304 (2)(h). This is documented on the Ballot Reconciliation Report.

Procedures for these audits are set by administrative rules and policy set by the Lt. Governor.

## *Audit Team*

Auditors consisted of Davis County Citizens, and Officials from outside of the Davis County Clerk's Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on November 14, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

## *Results*

The results of the audit accurately matched the tabulated results. No discrepancies were found in the signature comparisons. Following this page you will find the Canvass Audit Certification Report and the Post Election Auditor Certification Report.

**November 14,  
2025**



# Davis County Post-Election Auditors Certification Report

Election: November 4, 2025 Municipal General Election

I certify that proper legal public notice was given for the Post-Election Audit. This audit was conducted at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025 on the 14 day of November, 2025; all laws, policies and procedures were followed as currently constituted; and that the following information is true and correct.

Signature of County Clerk: *Don Klutznick* Date: 11-14-25

We the undersigned election auditors certify that we were present during and participated in this post-election audit. We certify that we reviewed the original paper ballots, and tabulation of all ballots identified for audit, we confirmed that the electronic count matched the original marks made by the voter, and that all electronic tabulations accurately reflected the intent of the voter as marked on each ballot and that if any differences were identified, they have been listed on the Audit Summary Report.

As an appointed election auditor, I certify that I attended the Post-Election Audit and verified the information listed above to be accurate.

Signature of Election Auditor *Rebecca Solman*

Signature of Election Auditor *[Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor *[Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor *Helen Babinigan*

Signature of Election Auditor *Christy [Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor *Alfred Holbrook*

Signature of Election Auditor *Valerie Koster*

Signature of Election Auditor *Wendy Page*

Signature of Election Auditor *Nancy R. Dean*

Signature of Election Auditor *Jennifer [Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor *[Signature]*

Signature of Election Auditor *[Signature]*



# Canvass - Audit Certification Report

County: Davis

Election: Municipal General 2025

## Paper Ballot Audit Summary

1. Number of paper ballots processed: 68,572
2. Number of ballots audited: 795
3. List the contests on the ballot that were audited:

All Contests  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Were there any differences between the tabulation software and the votes marked on the paper ballots?

Yes  No (\*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)

## DRE Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

1. Number of voting machines used in the election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of polling places used for the election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  Yes  No  
(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
5. Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  Yes  No  
(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  Yes  No  
(\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited machine?  Yes  No \*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Signature Affidavit Audit Summary

Total number of signature affidavits audited: 666

Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between a signature's initial verification and the audit?  
 Yes  No (\*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)

**Clerk Certification – to be completed before the canvass**

Yes  No I certify that I have reviewed the number of vote histories recorded in VISTA and they closely match the number of ballots cast in my county during this election.

Number of ballots counted: 68,572

Number of vote histories: 68,572

Difference: 0

Explain any differences:

Yes  No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).

Yes  No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using any voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voting equipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."

---

County Clerk Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*Teri Plutko*

Date: 11-17-25